

# State Operations Manual

## Appendix PP - Guidance to Surveyors for Long Term Care Facilities

---

### Table of Contents

(Rev. 22, 12-15-06)

### [Transmittals for Appendix PP](#)

#### INDEX

- §483.5: Definitions
- §483.10 Resident Rights
  - §483.10(a) Exercise of Rights
  - §483.10(b) Notice of Rights and Services
  - §483.10(c) Protection of Resident Funds
  - §483.10(d) Free Choice
  - §483.10(e) Privacy and Confidentiality
  - §483.10(f) Grievances
  - §483.10(g) Examination of Survey Results
  - §483.10(h) Work
  - §483.10(i) Mail
  - §483.10(j) Access and Visitation Rights
  - §483.10(k) Telephone
  - §483.10(l) Personal Property
  - §483.10(m) Married Couples
  - §483.10(n) Self-Administration of Drugs
  - §483.10(o) Refusal of Certain Transfers
- §483.12 Admission, Transfer, and Discharge Rights
  - §483.12(a) Transfer, and Discharge
  - §483.12(b) Notice of Bed-Hold Policy and Readmission
  - §483.12(c) Equal Access to Quality Care
  - §483.12(d) Admissions Policy

- The facility informs the resident and the resident’s representative in advance that this is not a covered service to allow them to make an informed choice regarding the fee; and
- The resident’s admission or continued stay is not conditioned on the resident's requesting and receiving that service.

### **Procedures §483.12(d)(3)**

Review State covered services. Compare with the list of items for which the facility charges to determine if the facility is charging for covered services.

Determine if the facility requires deposits from residents. If you identify potential problems with discrimination, review the files of one or more residents selected for a focused or comprehensive review to determine if the facility requires residents to submit deposits as a precondition of admission besides what may be paid under the State plan.

If interviews with residents suggest that the facility may have required deposits from Medicaid recipients at admission, except those admitted when Medicaid eligibility is pending, corroborate by, for example, reviewing the facility's admissions documents or interviewing family members.

**§483.12(d)(4) States or political subdivisions may apply stricter admissions standards under State or local laws than are specified in this section, to prohibit discrimination against individuals entitled to Medicaid.**

---

## **§483.13 Resident Behavior and Facility Practices**

---

### **F221**

Use Tag F221 for deficiencies concerning **physical** restraints.

### **USE GUIDANCE UNDER TAG F222**

---

### **F222**

Use Tag F222 for deficiencies concerning **chemical** restraints.

### **§483.13(a) Restraints**

**The resident has the right to be free from any physical or chemical restraints imposed for purposes of discipline or convenience, and not required to treat the resident’s medical symptoms.**

**Intent §483.13(a)**

The intent of this requirement is for each person to attain and maintain his/her highest practicable well-being in an environment that prohibits the use of restraints for discipline or convenience and limits restraint use to circumstances in which the resident has medical symptoms that warrant the use of restraints.

**Interpretive Guidelines §483.13(a)**

**Definitions of Terms**

“Physical Restraints” are defined as any manual method or physical or mechanical device, material, or equipment attached or adjacent to the resident’s body that the individual cannot remove easily which restricts freedom of movement or normal access to one’s body.

“Chemical Restraints” is defined as any drug that is used for discipline or convenience and not required to treat medical symptoms.

“Discipline” is defined as any action taken by the facility for the purpose of punishing or penalizing residents.

“Convenience” is defined as any action taken by the facility to control a resident’s behavior or manage a resident’s behavior with a lesser amount of effort by the facility and not in the resident’s best interest.

“Medical Symptom” is defined as an indication or characteristic of a physical or psychological condition.

-----  
“Convenience” is defined as any action taken by the facility to control a resident’s behavior or manage a resident’s behavior with a lesser amount of effort by the facility and not in the resident’s best interest.

Restraints may not be used for staff convenience. However, if the resident needs emergency care, restraints may be used for brief periods to permit medical treatment to proceed unless the facility has a notice indicating that the resident has previously made a valid refusal of the treatment in question. If a resident’s unanticipated violent or aggressive behavior places him/her or others in imminent danger, the resident does not have the right to refuse the use of restraints. In this situation, the use of restraints is a measure of last resort to protect the safety of the resident or others and must not extend beyond the immediate episode. The resident’s right to participate in care planning and the right to refuse treatment are addressed at §§483.20(k)(2)(ii) and 483.10(b)(4), respectively, and include the right to accept or refuse restraints.

---

Physical Restraints are defined as any manual method or physical or mechanical device, material, or equipment attached or adjacent to the resident's body that the individual cannot remove easily which restricts freedom of movement or normal access to one's body.

"Physical restraints" include, but are not limited to, leg restraints, arm restraints, hand mitts, soft ties or vests, lap cushions, and lap trays the resident cannot remove easily. Also included as restraints are facility practices that meet the definition of a restraint, such as:

- 
- Using side rails that keep a resident from voluntarily getting out of bed;
  - Tucking in or using velcro to hold a sheet, fabric, or clothing tightly so that a resident's movement is restricted;
  - Using devices in conjunction with a chair, such as trays, tables, bars or belts, that the resident can not remove easily, that prevent the resident from rising;
  - Placing a resident in a chair that prevents a resident from rising; and
  - Placing a chair or bed so close to a wall that the wall prevents the resident from rising out of the chair or voluntarily getting out of bed.

Side rails sometimes restrain residents. The use of side rails as restraints is prohibited unless they are necessary to treat a resident's medical symptoms. Residents who attempt to exit a bed through, between, over or around side rails are at risk of injury or death. The potential for serious injury is more likely from a fall from a bed with raised side rails than from a fall from a bed where side rails are not used. They also potentially increase the likelihood that the resident will spend more time in bed and fall when attempting to transfer from the bed.

As with other restraints, for residents who are restrained by side rails, it is expected that the process facilities employ to reduce the use of side rails as restraints is systematic and gradual to ensure the resident's safety while treating the resident's medical symptom.

The same device may have the effect of restraining one individual but not another, depending on the individual resident's condition and circumstances. For example, partial rails may assist one resident to enter and exit the bed independently while acting as a restraint for another.

Orthotic body devices may be used solely for therapeutic purposes to improve the overall functional capacity of the resident.

An enclosed framed wheeled walker, with or without a posterior seat, would not meet the definition of a restraint if the resident could easily open the front gate and exit the device.

If the resident cannot open the front gate (due to cognitive or physical limitations that prevent him or her from exiting the device or because the device has been altered to prevent the resident from exiting the device), the enclosed framed wheeled walker would meet the definition of a restraint since the device would restrict the resident's freedom of movement (e.g. transferring to another chair, to the commode, or into the bed). The decision on whether framed wheeled walkers are a restraint must be made on an individual basis.

“Medical Symptom” is defined as an indication or characteristic of a physical or psychological condition.

The resident's medical symptoms should not be viewed in isolation, rather the symptoms should be viewed in the context of the resident's condition, circumstances and environment. Objective findings derived from clinical evaluation and the resident's subjective symptoms should be considered to determine the presence of the medical symptom. The resident's subjective symptoms may not be used as the sole basis for using a restraint. Before a resident is restrained, the facility must determine the presence of a specific medical symptom that would require the use of restraints, and how the use of restraints would treat the medical symptom, protect the resident's safety, and assist the resident in attaining or maintaining his or her highest practicable level of physical and psychosocial well-being.

Medical symptoms that warrant the use of restraints must be documented in the resident's medical record, ongoing assessments, and care plans. While there must be a physician's order reflecting the presence of a medical symptom, CMS will hold the facility ultimately accountable for the appropriateness of that determination. The physician's order alone is not sufficient to warrant the use of the restraint. It is further expected, for those residents whose care plans indicate the need for restraints, that the facility engage in a systematic and gradual process toward reducing restraints (e.g., gradually increasing the time for ambulation and muscle strengthening activities). This systematic process would also apply to recently admitted residents for whom restraints were used in the previous setting.

### **Consideration of Treatment Plan**

In order for the resident to be fully informed, the facility must explain, in the context of the individual resident's condition and circumstances, the potential risks and benefits of all options under consideration including using a restraint, not using a restraint, and alternatives to restraint use. Whenever restraint use is considered, the facility must explain to the resident how the use of restraints would treat the resident's medical symptoms and assist the resident in attaining or maintaining his/her highest practicable level of physical or psychological well-being. In addition, the facility must also explain the potential negative outcomes of restraint use which include, but are not limited to, declines in the resident's physical functioning (e.g., ability to ambulate) and muscle condition, contractures, increased incidence of infections and development of pressure sores/ulcers, delirium, agitation, and incontinence. Moreover, restraint use may

constitute an accident hazard. Restraints have been found in some cases to increase the incidence of falls or head trauma due to falls and other accidents (e.g., strangulation, entrapment). Finally, residents who are restrained may face a loss of autonomy, dignity and self respect, and may show symptoms of withdrawal, depression, or reduced social contact. In effect, restraint use can reduce independence, functional capacity, and quality of life. Alternatives to restraint use should be considered and discussed with the resident. Alternatives to restraint use might include modifying the resident's environment and/or routine.

In the case of a resident who is incapable of making a decision, the legal surrogate or representative may exercise this right based on the same information that would have been provided to the resident. (See §483.10(a)(3) and (4).) However, the legal surrogate or representative cannot give permission to use restraints for the sake of discipline or staff convenience or when the restraint is not necessary to treat the resident's medical symptoms. That is, the facility may not use restraints in violation of the regulation solely based on a legal surrogate or representative's request or approval.

### **Assessment and Care Planning for Restraint Use**

There are instances where, after assessment and care planning, a least restrictive restraint may be deemed appropriate for an individual resident to attain or maintain his or her highest practicable physical and psychosocial well-being. This does not alter the facility's responsibility to assess and care plan restraint use on an ongoing basis.

Before using a device for mobility or transfer, assessment should include a review of the resident's:

- Bed mobility (e.g., would the use of a device assist the resident to turn from side to side? Is the resident totally immobile and unable to change position without assistance?); and
- Ability to transfer between positions, to and from bed or chair, to stand and toilet (e.g., does the raised side rail add risk to the resident's ability to transfer?).

The facility must design its interventions not only to minimize or eliminate the medical symptom, but also to identify and address any underlying problems causing the medical symptom.

- Interventions that the facility might incorporate in care planning include:
  - Providing restorative care to enhance abilities to stand, transfer, and walk safely;
  - Providing a device such as a trapeze to increase a resident's mobility in bed;

- o Placing the bed lower to the floor and surrounding the bed with a soft mat;
- o Equipping the resident with a device that monitors his/her attempts to arise;
- o Providing frequent monitoring by staff with periodic assisted toileting for residents who attempt to arise to use the bathroom;
- o Furnishing visual and verbal reminders to use the call bell for residents who are able to comprehend this information and are able to use the call bell device; and/or
- o Providing exercise and therapeutic interventions, based on individual assessment and care planning, that may assist the resident in achieving proper body position, balance and alignment, without the potential negative effects associated with restraint use.

**Procedures: §483.13(a)**

Determine if the facility follows a systematic process of evaluation and care planning prior to using restraints. Since continued restraint use is associated with a potential for a decline in functioning if the risk is not addressed, determine if the interdisciplinary team addressed the risk of decline at the time restraint use was initiated and that the care plan reflected measures to minimize a decline. Also determine if the plan of care was consistently implemented. Determine whether the decline can be attributed to a disease progression or inappropriate use of restraints.

For sampled residents observed as physically restrained during the survey or whose clinical records show the use of physical restraints within 30 days of the survey, determine whether the facility used the restraint for convenience or discipline, or a therapeutic intervention for specific periods to attain and maintain the resident's highest practicable physical, mental, or psychosocial well-being.

**Probes: §483.13(a)**

This systematic approach should answer these questions:

1. What are the medical symptoms that led to the consideration of the use of restraints?
2. Are these symptoms caused by failure to:
  - a. Meet individual needs in accordance with the resident assessments including, but not limited to, section III of the MDS, Customary Daily Routines (MDS Version 2.0, section AC), in the context of relevant

information in sections I and II of the MDS (MDS Version 2.0, sections AA and AB)?

- b. Use rehabilitative/restorative care?
  - c. Provide meaningful activities?
  - d. Manipulate the resident's environment, including seating?
3. Can the cause(s) of the medical symptoms be eliminated or reduced?
  4. If the cause(s) cannot be eliminated or reduced, then has the facility attempted to use alternatives in order to avoid a decline in physical functioning associated with restraint use? (See Physical Restraints Resident Assessment Protocol (RAP), paragraph I).
  5. If alternatives have been tried and deemed unsuccessful, does the facility use the least restrictive restraint for the least amount of time? Does the facility monitor and adjust care to reduce the potential for negative outcomes while continually trying to find and use less restrictive alternatives?
  6. Did the resident or legal surrogate make an informed choice about the use of restraints? Were risks, benefits, and alternatives explained?
  7. Does the facility use the Physical Restraints RAP to evaluate the appropriateness of restraint use?
  8. Has the facility re-evaluated the need for the restraint, made efforts to eliminate its use and maintained residents' strength and mobility?

---

## **F223**

### **§483.13(b) Abuse**

**The resident has the right to be free from verbal, sexual, physical, and mental abuse, corporal punishment, and involuntary seclusion.**

#### **Intent §483.13(b)**

Each resident has the right to be free from abuse, corporal punishment, and involuntary seclusion. Residents must not be subjected to abuse by anyone, including, but not limited to, facility staff, other residents, consultants or volunteers, staff of other agencies serving the resident, family members or legal guardians, friends, or other individuals.